Annual Checklist for Assessment of the Efficiency of The Administrative Mechanism (AEAM) Completed by Finance Committee

From the RWHAP Part A Manual: The purpose of Monitoring the Administrative Mechanism "is to assure that funds are being contracted for quickly and through an open process, and that providers are being paid in a timely manner" (p 101).

Directions: Please complete the following form by highlighting yes, no, or N/A and offering the group responsible and any notes. "Group responsible" represents who (Council or specific subcommittee) was responsible for monitoring each item. The "Notes" section captures comments/concerns and allows the group responsible to expand upon the item listed.

The Procurement Process:

| In the case of an RFP, HIPC received a presentation from and had a discussion with the recipient (DHH) around the RFP. | | |
|--|----|-----|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |
| | | |

The recipient's (DHH's) contract procurement process was efficient and effective. **NOTE:** HIPC is only to assess the process; the Council must not be involved in any way that might influence which agencies the recipient selects for funding.

| Yes | No | N/A |
|--------------------|----|-----|
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |
| | | |

Contracting:

| HIPC received information from the recipient (DHH) about the percent of contracts fully executed within 90 days after Notice of Grant Award. | | |
|--|----|-----|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |

Reimbursement of Subrecipients:

| HIPC was informed of any obstacles to timely reimbursement. If there were obstacles, HIPC was informed of any adverse impact on clients or providers. | | |
|---|----|-----|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |

| HIPC was notified of late invoicing. | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |
| | | |

Use of Funds:

| | HIPC of a partial award/continu scenario to ensure the rapid dis | - |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |

| The recipient (DHH) distributed | funding in accordance to th made by HIPC. | e approved allocation decisions |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |

| s on service utilization and expe | nditures by service category. | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| No | N/A | |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | s on service utilization and expe | |

-

| The recipient (DHH) informed HIPC of reallocations above the 10% threshold so HIPC could make and approve adjustments during the year. | | |
|--|----|-----|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |
| | | |

Engagement with PC/B in the planning process:

Г

Г

| The recipient (DHH) had a staff | ^r member at each committee m to attend. | neeting except when asked not |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |

| The recipient (DHH) implem | nented directives from HIPC and | reported back on progress. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Yes | No | N/A |
| Group Responsible: | | |
| Notes: | | |
| | | |

-